UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ILSE SENGLAUP,

Plaintiff,

DECISION and ORDER

07-CV-375S(F)

٧.

ZIMMER UPSTATE NEW YORK, INC., ZIMMER NEW ENGLAND, INC., ZIMMER HOLDINGS, INC., ZIMMER, INC., ZIMMER US, INC., and ZIMMER ORTHOPAEDIC SURGICAL PRODUCTS,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

BROWN CHIARI, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

THERESA M. WALSH, of Counsel

5775 Broadway

Lancaster, New York 14086

BAKER & DANIELS, LLP Attorneys for Defendants J. BYRON HAYES, of Counsel 111 East Wayne Street Suite 800 Fort Wayne, Indiana 46802

BAKER & DANIELS, LLP Attorneys for Defendants J. JOSEPH TANNER, of Counsel Suite 2700 300 North Meridian Street

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

WARD, NORRIS, HELLER & REIDY, LLP Attorneys for Defendants TONY R. SEARS, and CHERYL A. HELLER, of Counsel 300 State Street 6th Floor Rochester, New York 14614

This case was referred to the undersigned by Honorable William M. Skretny on June 21, 2007, for nondispositive motions. The matter is presently before the court on Plaintiff's motion to remand (Doc. No. 21), filed September 10, 2007.

Plaintiff Ilse Senglaup ("Plaintiff") commenced this action on March 29, 2007, in New York Supreme Court, Erie County, alleging personal injury and products liability against Defendants Zimmer Upstate New York, Inc., Zimmer New England, Inc., Zimmer Hodligns, Inc., Zimmer, Inc., Zimmer US, Inc., and Zimmer Orthopaedic Surgical Products ("Defendants"). Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that she sustained severe and permanent injuries caused by knee implants designed, manufactured and marketed by Defendants.

Because the Complaint fails, in accordance with New York Civil Practice Law and Rules ("N.Y. Civ. Prac. L. & R.")² § 3017(c) ("§ 3017(c)"), to specify the amount of damages Plaintiff seeks, Defendants, on May 22, 2007, served Plaintiffs' attorney with a Request for Supplemental Demand for Relief ("Demand for Relief Request") pursuant to § 3017(c) seeking an itemization of the monetary relief to which Plaintiff claims she is

I The undersigned considers a matter of remand as not dispositive as it resolves only the question of whether there is a proper basis for federal jurisdiction to support removal and does not reach a determination of either the merits of a plaintiff's claims or defendant's defenses or counterclaims. Following the decision on remand, the parties may prosecute such claims or defenses, including related dispositive motions, if any, in whichever court the decision may direct the action to proceed. *Holt v. Tonawanda Coke Corp.*, 802 F.Supp. 866 (W.D.N.Y. 1991); *Acme Electric Corp. v. Sigma Instruments. Inc.*, 121 F.R.D. 26 (W.D.N.Y. 1988). *See Mahl Bros. Ins. Co., Inc. v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 307 F.Supp.2d 474, 478 (W.D.N.Y. 2004) (Arcara, C.J.) (denying under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), plaintiff's objections to undersigned's decision denying motion to remand as not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law."). Should, however, the District Judge disagree, *see, e.g., In re U.S. Healthcare*, 159 F.3d 142, 145-46 (3d Cir. 1998) (holding motion to remand action to state court was dispositive as such motion conclusively determines whether a federal forum is available to address the dispute), then the undersigned's finding regarding the motion to remand should be treated as a report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

² Unless otherwise specified, references to N.Y. Civ. Prac. L. & R. are to McKinney 2003.

entitled. On June 8, 2007, Defendants' attorneys received Plaintiff's Recitation of Total Damages Entitled pursuant to § 3017(c) ("Recitation of Damages"), indicating that Plaintiff claims \$ 1 million in damages. On June 12, 2007, Defendants removed the action to this court asserting the two non-diverse Defendants, *i.e.*, Zimmer Upstate New York, Inc. ("Zimmer Upstate") and Zimmer New England, Inc. ("Zimmer New England"), were fraudulently joined such that there is complete diversity of the parties, and a damage claim exceeding \$ 75,000, supporting federal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Notice of Removal ("Removal Notice") (Doc. No. 1) ¶ 10.

On September 10, 2007, Plaintiff moved to remand the action to New York
Supreme Court, Erie County. (Doc. No. 21). The motion is supported by the Attorney's
Affidavit of Theresa M. Walsh, Esq. ("Walsh Affidavit") (Doc. No. 22), with attached
exhibits A through C, and a Memorandum of Law (Doc. No. 23) ("Plaintiff's
Memorandum"). Plaintiff asserts in support of remand that Defendants have failed to
submit any evidence establishing that Zimmer New England was not involved with the
products at issue, but concedes that Zimmer Upstate is not a proper Defendant.
Plaintiff's Memorandum at 2-5. Significantly, nowhere in the papers Plaintiff filed in
support of remand does Plaintiff assert that any Defendant, other than Zimmer Upstate
or Zimmer New England, is a citizen of New York.

On September 25, 2007, Defendants filed the Declaration of Tony R. Sears, Esq., in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Remand (Doc. No. 25) ("Sears Declaration"). According to Defendants, the citizenship of neither Zimmer Upstate, nor Zimmer New England should be considered for the purpose of diversity jurisdiction because such entities are not connected to the controversy at issue in this action given that Zimmer

Case 1:07-cv-00375-WMS-LGF Document 28 Filed 10/15/07 Page 4 of 4

Upstate did not even exist when the products at issue were sold and implanted, and

Zimmer New England was never involved in any design, development, manufacture,

assembly, supply, distribution, sale or marketing of the subject products. Sears

Declaration ¶¶ 6, 10-11.

By Stipulation filed September 28, 2007 (Doc. No. 26), the parties stipulated to

the dismissal of Zimmer Upstate and Zimmer New England as Defendants to this

action. With the dismissal of Zimmer Upstate and Zimmer New England, complete

diversity exists as to the remaining parties, rendering Plaintiff's arguments in support of

remand without merit.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to remand (Doc. No. 21) is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Leslie G. Foschio

LESLIE G. FOSCHIO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED:

October <u>15</u>, 2007 Buffalo, New York

4